Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

AUG - 7 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Document 2 Filed 08/11/2008

AUGUST 11, 2008

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Page 1 of 3

JUL 2 2 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

NITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: PAPST LICENSING DIGITAL CAMERA PATENT LITIGATION

MDL No. 1880

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

Online attaces district Court For the District of Columbia

A THUE COPY
NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, Clerk

Deputy Clark

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-5)

On November 5, 2007, the Panel transferred three civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of District of Columbia for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 528 F.Supp.2d 1357 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, three additional actions have been transferred to the District of District of Columbia. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Rosemary M. Collyer.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of District of Columbia and assigned to Judge Collyer.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of District of Columbia for the reasons stated in the order of November 5, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Rosemary M. Collyer.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of District of Columbia. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: PAPST LICENSING DIGITAL CAMERA PATENT LITIGATION

3

MDL No. 1880

SCHEDULE CTO-5 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	CASE CAPTION
II N. d. oo -	Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd., et al. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Canon, Inc., et al. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG v. Eastman Kodak Co.

IN RE: PAPST LICENSING DIGITAL CAMERA PATENT LITIGATION

MDL No. 1880

INVOLVED COUNSEL LIST (CTO-5)

J. Kevin Fee MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS 1111Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Patrick J. Kelleher DRINKER BIDDLE GARDNER CARTON 191 North Wacker Drive #3700 Chicago, IL 60606-1698

Steven J. Routh HOGAN & HARTSON LLP 555 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

James Patrick White WELSH & KATZ LTD 120 South Riverside Plaza 22nd Floor Chicago, IL 60606

Richard de Bodo HOGAN & HARTSON LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067

13.8° - 244